

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

or

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
09/838,886	8,886 04/20/2001 Wolfgang Bachmann		943-001.5 1653				
4955	7590 10/22/2003		EXAM	INER			
	ESSOLA VAN DER SI	LUYS &	HARVEY,	DIONNE			
ADOLPHSC BRADFORI	ON, LLP O GREEN BUILDING 5		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	STREET, P O BOX 224		2643				
MONROE,	CT 06468		DATE MAILED: 10/22/2001	3 Q			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •			•)			
, Office Action Summary		Application No. 09/838,886	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s) Bachmann			
		Examiner Dionne Harv	rey	Art Unit 2643			
eriod f	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears for Reply	s on the cover sheet w	ith the corres	spondence addre	ss		
THE N - Extens mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). It date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	the statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONTH the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed y (30) days will b HS from the mailin NDONED (35 U.S	e fter SIX (6) MONTH: e considered timely. ng date of this commun S.C. § 133).			
tatus							
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				•		
2a) □ 3) □	This action is FINAL . 2b) $ X $ This action is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex p$				e merits is		
	tion of Claims						
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u>		is/are	e pending in the	application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/ar	e withdrawn fro	om consideration.		
5) 💢	Claim(s) 23 and 24			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-6 and 17-22			is/are rejected.			
7) 💢	Claim(s) 7-16			is/are objected	to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are subj	ect to restric	ction and/or elec	ction requirement.		
pplica	ation Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
O) 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	re a) 🗌 accepted or	b)□ objecte	ed to by the Exa	aminer.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) 🗆	approved	b)□ disapprov	ed by the Examiner.		

0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examine	O) 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on		is/are a) \square	accepted or	b) objected to by the Examine	ır.
--	--------------	-------------------------	--	---------------------	-------------	-------------------------------	-----

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Period for Reply

Status

8) Claims **Application Papers**

Disposition of Claims 4) X Claim(s) 1-24

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13)∟	Acknowledg	gement is	made c	ot a claim	tor toreign	priority u	inger 35	0.S.C.	3 1	19(a)-(d)	or (1).
a)	All b)□	Some*	c) 🗌 🔝	None of:								

. \Box	Cortified	conies	of the	priority	documents	hava	hoon	received
1.1.1	Certitled	cobles (ot the	DITIONITY	cocuments	nave	neen	received

2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have t	een received in Application No.
--	---------------------------------

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)	
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/838,886

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Objections

Claim 15 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 15 fails to further limit claim 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 6 recites "...a basic pattern is always repeated in a reduced scale, and is again repeated in these smaller structures." Explanation is required for these smaller structures.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

١٦

- Application/Control Number: 09/838,886

Art Unit: 2643

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

1. Claims 1-6,17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Azima (US 6,188,775).

Claim 1, in figure 6, Azima '775 teaches a tweeter comprising a light weight, freely carried thin sandwiched plate(2) which can be excited into multiple reflected bending waves; and at least one driver (68) wherein the driver is designed to excite at higher frequencies and the sandwich plate is designed for propagation of bending waves at low damping; the sandwich plate is freely supported by holding elements (in figure 3, see element 3) with low damping. Azima does not specifically teach that the holding elements are designed to be low damping at higher sound frequencies. However, The Examiner takes Official Notice that damping in the form of a panel suspension or a weighted mass, which will decouple from the panel at a higher frequency, are well known in the art and would have been obvious so that the damping member does not attenuate the bending waves of the panel. See cited references, below.

Claim 2, in figure 2a, Azima teaches that the sandwich plate has two cover plates(21) and a core/layer(22).

Claim 3, Azima teaches a honeycomb structure.

Claims 4 and 22, Azima does not clearly teach that the core layer contains a spatially different distribution of elasto-mechanical properties. However, it would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 09/838,886

Art Unit: 2643

provide a core layer containing a spatially different distribution of elasto-mechanical properties so as to achieve the vibrational frequency response desired.

Claim 5, Azima teaches that the core layer may be constructed in a honeycomb formation.

The apertures of the honeycomb core layer provide the zonal thinning and/or cutouts, as claimed.

Claim 6, as best understood with regard to the U.S.C. 112 second paragraph rejection above, though Azima does not clearly teaches that the cut-outs/zones may be repeated in a reduced scale, it would have been obvious to vary the core spacing within honeycomb formation, since this will increase the ridgity where core spacing is decreased, thereby achieving the vibrational frequency response desired.

Claim 17, Azima teaches that the holding element (3) may be inserted within a larger support structure (1).

Claim 18, Azima teaches that one side of the holding structure(3) is attached via adhesive to the sandwich plate(2) and the other side is attached to the support structure(1).

Claim 19, Azima teaches that the edge of the holding element(3) is cemented via adhesive to a cut-out of the surrounding support structure(1), as broadly claimed.

Claim 20, In figure 6, Azima teaches that the back side of the driver is a holding element to wires for connection to amplifier circuitry.

Claim 21, in figure 3, Azima teaches that the plate diaphragm(2) is designed as a support structure for element (4).

• Application/Control Number: 09/838,886 Page 5

Art Unit: 2643

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 23-24 are allowed. Claims 7, 9,15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 8,10-14 are objected to due to dependency upon claim 9.

Conclusion

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Azima US 6,151,402 teaches materials from which core may be constructed.

Azima US 6,442,282 teaches elements having low damping at higher frequencies.

See columy, Ins 22-29

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statements for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne Harvey whose telephone number is (703) 305-1111. The examiner can normally be reaches on Monday through Friday from 8:30am to 6:00pm.

Any responses to this action should be mailed to:

Page 6

Art Unit: 2643

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-6306, for formal communications for entry

Or:

(703) 308-6296, for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT".

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor(Receptionist)

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz, can be reached at (703) 305-4708.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne Harvey whose telephone number is (703) 305-1111.

D.H.

October 15, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600